	District of California
)	$\ddot{\mathbb{C}}$
,	of
	istrict
2	
	the Northern I
	Nor
	the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,) Case No.: 11-CV-01043-LHK
Plaintiff, v. INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY DISTRIBUTORS, LLC,	ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO FILE SUR-REPLY AND ALLOWING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO SUR-REPLY
Defendant.) (re: docket #61))

Plaintiff has filed a motion to disqualify counsel, which is set for hearing on July 21, 2011. See Dkt. #38. The parties have completed briefing on the motion, however, Defendant has moved for leave to file a sur-reply, not to exceed ten pages in length. See Dkt. #61. Plaintiff opposes that motion, and, in the alternative, requests an opportunity to file a response if a sur-reply is allowed. See Dkt. #64.

Once a reply is filed, no additional briefing may be filed without Court approval. See Civ. L.R. 7-3(d). Here, the Court finds limited supplemental briefing appropriate. Accordingly, Defendant, by May 26, 2011, may file a sur-reply not to exceed 5 pages in length. Plaintiff may //

Case No.: 11-cv-1043-LHK

ORDER RE: SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

file a response to the sur-reply, by June 3, 2011, also not to exceed 5 pages in length.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 24, 2011

LUCY H. KOH United States District Judge

Case No.: 11-cv-1043-LHK

ORDER RE: SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING